Committee / Commission

AGRI

Meeting of / Réunion du 30/08/2011

BUDGETARY AMENDMENTS / AMENDEMENTS BUDGÉTAIRES

Rapporteur: Luis Manuel CAPOULAS SANTOS

EN

EN

Draft amendment 5200

=== AGRI/5200 ===

Tabled by Marit Paulsen, George Lyon, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Add: 17 04 01 02

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's po	Council's position 2012		rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
17 04 01 02							3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000
Reserve										
Total							3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000

Heading:

Pilot project — Coordinated European Animal Welfare Network

Remarks:

Add following text:

Recalls that the Paulsen report on the evaluation and assessment of the Animal Welfare Action Plan 2006-2010, (PE 430.922 v02-00, A7-0053/2010), called for the setting up of a European coordinated network for animal welfare which should perform the tasks referred to in the Commission communication of 28 October 2009 on options for animal welfare labelling and the establishment of a European Network of Reference Centres for the protection and welfare of animals (COM (2009)0584).

Legal basis:

Add following text:

Pilot project within the meaning of Article 49(6) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities (OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1).

Justification:

The Animal Welfare Network should provide assistance and information to the Commission, Member States, food chain actors and citizens regarding training and education, best practices and consumer communication. It should collect and store data which would provide input to future impact assessments and policy decisions, as well as to the upcoming EU Strategy for Animal Welfare. Consequently, such a pilot project for the year 2012 is of essence.

Draft amendment 5201

=== AGRI/5201 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 06 05 — Quality improvement measures

Amend figures as follows:

		Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's po	Council's position 2012		rence	New amount	
		Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 06	6 05	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	46 000 000	46 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000
Reserve											
Tota	al	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	46 000 000	46 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000

Justification:

Restore	Draft	Budget	(DB)	١.

Draft amendment 5202

=== AGRI/5202 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 08 03 — Operational funds for producer organisations

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 08 03	292 000 000	292 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	420 000 000	420 000 000	80 000 000	80 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000
Reserve										
Total	292 000 000	292 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	420 000 000	420 000 000	80 000 000	80 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5203

=== AGRI/5203 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 08 12 — School fruit scheme

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 08 12	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	64 000 000	64 000 000	26 000 000	26 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000
Reserve										
Total	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	64 000 000	64 000 000	26 000 000	26 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5204

=== AGRI/5204 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 09 08 — National support programmes for the wine sector

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 09 08	862 300 000	862 300 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000	1 066 900 000	1 066 900 000	20 000 000	20 000 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000
Reserve										
Total	862 300 000	862 300 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000	1 066 900 000	1 066 900 000	20 000 000	20 000 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000

Justification:

Restore	Draft	Bud	get ((DB)	١.

Draft amendment 5205

=== AGRI/5205 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

.....

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 09 99 — Other measures (wine-growing sector)

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's po	osition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 09 99	1 400 000	1 400 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	200 000	200 000	1 800 000	1 800 000	2 000 000	2 000 000
Reserve										
Total	1 400 000	1 400 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	200 000	200 000	1 800 000	1 800 000	2 000 000	2 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5206

=== AGRI/5206 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 10 01 — Promotion measures — Payments by Member States

Amend figures as follows:

		Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
		Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
0	05 02 10 01	58 000 000	58 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000
F	Reserve										
	Total	58 000 000	58 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5207

=== AGRI/5207 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 12 08 — School milk

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 12 08	90 000 000	90 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000	76 000 000	76 000 000	5 000 000	5 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000
Reserve										
Total	90 000 000	90 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000	76 000 000	76 000 000	5 000 000	5 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5208

=== AGRI/5208 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 03 02 44 — Specific support (Article 68) — Coupled direct aids

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 44	805 000 000	805 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000	859 000 000	859 000 000	6 000 000	6 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000
Reserve										
Total	805 000 000	805 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000	859 000 000	859 000 000	6 000 000	6 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5209

=== AGRI/5209 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 04 05 01 — Rural development programmes

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 04 05 01	14 408 211 311	11 900 560 364		12 650 000 000	14 589 123 242	12 510 000 000		140 000 000	14 589 123 242	12 650 000 000
Reserve										
Total	14 408 211 311	11 900 560 364	14 589 123 242	12 650 000 000	14 589 123 242	12 510 000 000		140 000 000	14 589 123 242	12 650 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5210

=== AGRI/5210 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Article 05 08 06 — Enhancing public awareness of the common agricultural policy

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft budget 2012		Council's po	Council's position 2012		rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 08 06	8 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000	7 000 000	7 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000
Reserve										
Total	8 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000	7 000 000	7 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5211

=== AGRI/5211 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 17 04 02 01 — Other measures in the veterinary, animal welfare and public health field — New measures

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft budget 2012		Council's po	sition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
17 04 02 01	18 100 000	13 000 000	18 000 000	13 000 000	15 000 000	7 000 000	3 000 000	6 000 000	18 000 000	13 000 000
Reserve										
Total	18 100 000	13 000 000	18 000 000	13 000 000	15 000 000	7 000 000	3 000 000	6 000 000	18 000 000	13 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

=_=_=_=_=_=_=

Draft amendment 5212

=== AGRI/5212 ===

Tabled by Giovanni La Via, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Add: 17 03 12

	Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
17 03 12							2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000
Reserve										
Total							2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000

Heading:

Pilot project — Healthy Diet and Ageing Population

Remarks:

Add following text:

The pilot project aims at underlining the importance of a correct and healthy diet with a focus on the ageing population.

The Ageing of Europe is a demographic phenomenon due to the decrease in fertility and to the increase of the life expectancy among Europeans.

The population of Europe is expected to age over the next forty years and this phenomenon is strictly connected with the nutrition issues.

As recognized in various studies, nutrition has a strong and important impact in the treatment and prevention of several diseases and in maintaining good health and quality of life in an ageing population.

With advancing age there is a high incidence of chronic diseases, and evidence points to the importance of nutrition, with a balanced and healthy diet, based on fruits and vegetables consumption, in the development, susceptibility and outcome of these diseases.

Legal basis:

Add following text:

Pilot project within the meaning of Article 49(6) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of

25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities (OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1).

Justification:

The pilot project aims at underlining the importance of a correct and healthy diet with a focus on the ageing population. The Ageing of Europe is a demographic phenomenon due to the decrease in fertility and to the increase of the life expectancy among Europeans. The population of Europe is expected to age over the next forty years and this phenomenon is strictly connected with the nutrition issues.

Draft amendment 5213

=== AGRI/5213 ===

Tabled by George Lyon, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Esther de Lange, Marit Paulsen, Michel Dantin, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Add: 05 08 11

	Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 08 11							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000
Reserve										
Total							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000

Heading:

Pilot project — Evidence-based agricultural instruments for environmental public goods

Remarks:

Add following text:

This pilot project aims at building an EU-wide methodology and robust measurement capacity per unit of production to fill in existing data gaps for sustainability indicators and further develop evidence-based agricultural instruments that reward producers who deliver environmental public goods, notably by reducing greenhouse gas emissions, in view of the reform of the CAP towards 2020.

Legal basis:

Add following text:

Pilot project within the meaning of Article 49(6) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities (OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1).

Justification:

This pilot project should help the Commission and Member States to fill in existing gaps as regards data for sustainability indicators, in order to build a common solid basis for evidence-based instruments to reward farmers for environmental public goods they provide in the framework of the reform of the CAP towards 2020. This should also help to assess, through the improvement of common data and indicators, the environmental impact such instruments have had after some years of implementation.

Draft amendment 5214

=== AGRI/5214 ===

Tabled by Georgios Papastamkos, Spyros Danellis, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

Item 05 02 06 05 — Quality improvement measures

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 06 05	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	46 000 000	46 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000
Reserve										
Total	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	46 000 000	46 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB). The cuts proposed by the Council are not justified. The Commission proposal is based on real needs.

Draft amendment 5215

=== AGRI/5215 ===

Tabled by Georgios Papastamkos, Spyros Danellis, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 08 12 — School fruit scheme

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New at	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 08 12	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	64 000 000	64 000 000	26 000 000	26 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000
Reserve										
Total	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	64 000 000	64 000 000	26 000 000	26 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB). The cuts proposed by the Council are not justified. The Commission proposal is based on real needs.

Draft amendment 5216

=== AGRI/5216 ===

Tabled by Georgios Papastamkos, Spyros Danellis, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 09 08 — National support programmes for the wine sector

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 09 08	862 300 000	862 300 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000	1 066 900 000	1 066 900 000	20 000 000	20 000 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000
Reserve										
Total	862 300 000	862 300 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000	1 066 900 000	1 066 900 000	20 000 000	20 000 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB). The cuts proposed by the Council are not justified. The Commission proposal is based on real needs.

Tabled by Georgios Papastamkos, Spyros Danellis, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 09 99 — Other measures (wine-growing sector)

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 09 99	1 400 000	1 400 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	200 000	200 000	1 800 000	1 800 000	2 000 000	2 000 000
Reserve										
Total	1 400 000	1 400 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	200 000	200 000	1 800 000	1 800 000	2 000 000	2 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB). The cuts proposed by the Council are not justified. The Commission proposal is based on real needs.

Draft amendment 5218

=== AGRI/5218 ===

Tabled by Georgios Papastamkos, Spyros Danellis, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 10 01 — Promotion measures — Payments by Member States

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 10 01	58 000 000	58 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000
Reserve										
Total	58 000 000	58 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB). The cuts proposed by the Council are not justified. The Commission proposal is based on real needs.

Draft amendment 5219

=== AGRI/5219 ===

Tabled by Georgios Papastamkos, Spyros Danellis, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 12 08 — School milk

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 12 08	90 000 000	90 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000	76 000 000	76 000 000	5 000 000	5 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000
Reserve										
Total	90 000 000	90 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000	76 000 000	76 000 000	5 000 000	5 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB). The cuts proposed by the Council are not justified. The Commission proposal is based on real needs.

Draft amendment 5220

=== AGRI/5220 ===

Tabled by Georgios Papastamkos, Spyros Danellis, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 03 02 40 — Area aid for cotton

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	get 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 40	256 000 000	256 000 000	244 000 000	244 000 000	226 000 000	226 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	244 000 000	244 000 000
Reserve										
Total	256 000 000	256 000 000	244 000 000	244 000 000	226 000 000	226 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	244 000 000	244 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB). The cuts proposed by the Council are not justified. The Commission proposal is based on real needs.

Draft amendment 5221

=== AGRI/5221 ===

Tabled by Georgios Papastamkos, Spyros Danellis, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 03 02 44 — Specific support (Article 68) — Coupled direct aids

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	get 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 44	805 000 000	805 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000	859 000 000	859 000 000	6 000 000	6 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000
Reserve										
Total	805 000 000	805 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000	859 000 000	859 000 000	6 000 000	6 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB). The cuts proposed by the Council are not justified. The Commission proposal is based on real needs.

Draft amendment 5222

=== AGRI/5222 ===

Tabled by Michel Dantin, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Article 15 05 06 — Special annual events

Amend figures and remarks as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's po	osition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
15 05 06	4 000 000	6 940 000	p.m.	1 200 000	p.m.	1 200 000		50 000	p.m.	1 250 000
Reserve										
Total	4 000 000	6 940 000	p.m.	1 200 000	p.m.	1 200 000		50 000	p.m.	1 250 000

Remarks:

Amend text as follows:

This appropriation is intended to cover completion costs of measures supported as special annual events.

EUR 50 000 is to be allocated for organizing the World Water Youth Parliament (WWYP), which is to be held from 7 to 14 March 2012 in Marseille (France). The WWYP is aiming to promote and collect the commitment of young people from all over the world and to give the opportunity to 85 representatives aged from 18 to 23 (representing the 3000 young people involved in the project) to take part in the 6th World Water Forum in Marseille. The objective is that proposals and solutions from the WWYP will then be taken into account in the Forum process, not only for the 6th Forum, but also for all the Forums that will follow.

Justification:

Over the last years, several initiatives such as local youth Parliaments have grown up and matured. Participating in a Water Youth Parliament provides an opportunity to jump into a citizenship experience which includes learning the key elements of democratic processes. The 6th World Water Forum will take place under the motto 'time for solutions'. One of these solutions will be ensuring the contribution of the Youth through this WWYP in the 6th and the future World Water Forums.

Draft amendment 5223

=== AGRI/5223 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Martin Häusling, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 12 01 — Refunds for milk and milk products

Amend figures and remarks as follows:

_		Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Difference		New amount	
		Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
0	5 02 12 01	10 000 000	10 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	-1 000 000	-1 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
F	Reserve										
	Total	10 000 000	10 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	-1 000 000	-1 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

Remarks:

Delete following text:

This appropriation is intended to cover export refunds for milk and milk products in accordance with Articles 162 to 170 of Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007.

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Add: 05 02 12 09

	Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	get 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 12 09							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000
Reserve										
Total							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000

Heading:

Milk producer organisations

Remarks:

Add following text:

As in other sectors, the milk sector requires supply management organized by producer organisations so as to allow the sector to establish a sustainable balance between supply and demand instead of interfering into markets of third countries through the extended use of state intervention and export refunds for the milk industry.

Justification:

Producer organisations can help to reach a fair price on the producer and consumer side. Instead of export refunds, which further distort milk markets in developing countries, voluntary producer supply management contributes to a fair solution of the milk crisis in Europe. Milk production should not be based on imported animal feed but on grass-fed production.

Draft amendment 5224

=== AGRI/5224 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Martin Häusling, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 03 02 08 — Beef special premium

Amend remarks as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 08	72 000 000	72 000 000	72 000 000	72 000 000	72 000 000	72 000 000			72 000 000	72 000 000
Reserve										
Total	72 000 000	72 000 000	72 000 000	72 000 000	72 000 000	72 000 000			72 000 000	72 000 000

Remarks:

After paragraph:

This appropriation is intended to cover the beef special Chapter 1 of Title IV of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009.

Add following text:

These premiums will not be paid for bulls actually used for lethal bull fighting.

Justification:

The European Convention for the protection of animals kept for farming purposes (Council Directive 98/58/EC) states that these animals should not suffer pain, injury, fear or distress. Clearly these conditions are not met where it concerns bulls that are used for lethal bull-fighting. Therefore, these bulls should not be eligible for the EU premium.

Draft amendment 5225

=== AGRI/5225 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Martin Häusling, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 04 03 02 — Plant and animal genetic resources — Completion of earlier measures

Amend figures, heading, remarks and legal basis as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 04 03 02	p.m.	1 944 383	p.m.	435 486	p.m.	435 486	5 000 000	2 500 000	5 000 000	2 935 486
Reserve										
Total	p.m.	1 944 383	p.m.	435 486	p.m.	435 486	5 000 000	2 500 000	5 000 000	2 935 486

Heading:

Plant and animal genetic resources — Completion of earlier measures

Remarks:

Before paragraph:

Any revenue entered in Article 6 7 0 of the general with Articles 18 and 180 of the Financial Regulation.

Amend text as follows:

This appropriation is to be used as a matter of priority for a preparatory action for the sustainable use and further development of biodiversity through the interaction of gene banks, breeders, farmers, gardeners, established nongovernmental organisations in the area and public and private-sector institutes. Consumer awareness in this field should also be fostered. Specific Community action is necessary to identify the strand of actions to be incorporated in the next generation of programmes after 2013. intended to cover the settlement of commitments entered in respect of the Community programme on the conservation, characterisation, collection and utilisation of genetic resources in agriculture.

This appropriation is also intended to cover the settlement of commitments entered in respect of the Community programme on the conservation, characterisation, collection and utilisation of genetic resources in agriculture. to be used as a matter of priority for the sustainable use and further development of biodiversity through the interaction of farmers, established nongovernmental organisations in the area and public and private-sector institutes. Consumer awareness in this field should also be fostered.

Legal basis:

Amend text as follows:

Preparatory action within the meaning of Article 49(6) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities (OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1). Council Regulation (EC) No 870/2004 of 26 April 2004 establishing a Community programme on the conservation, characterisation, collection and utilisation of genetic resources in agriculture and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1467/94 (OJ L 162, 30.4.2004, p. 18).

Justification:

The CAP health check identified conservation and sustainable use of biological and genetic diversity in agricultural practices as a key challenge for agriculture and rural development. The EU and its Member States committed themselves in the UN convention on the conservation of biodiversity to preserve biological and genetic diversity of plant varieties and animal breeds in agriculture. There should be specific Community action in this field, to involve besides gene banks and breeders also farmers, gardeners and NGO's so as to prepare for the new Community programmes after 2013.

Draft amendment 5226

=== AGRI/5226 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Add: 05 01 04 05

	Budget 2011		Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 01 04 05							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000
Reserve										
Total				-		-	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000

Heading:

Pilot project — Exchanging best practice for cross compliance simplification

Remarks:

Add following text:

This appropriation is intended to fund a platform through which national and local control agencies can exchange best practice and find common solutions to simplification for farmers of cross compliance controls, particularly through interoperable databases and "one stop checks".

Legal basis:

Add following text:

Pilot project within the meaning of Article 49(6) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities (OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1).

Justification:

This initiative is intended to help the Commission and the control agencies with their "simplification agenda" in order to minimise and rationalise the administrative and other checks which farmers must face during cross compliance, primarily through the use of IT.

Draft amendment 5227

=== AGRI/5227 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Add: 05 02 17 04

	Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 17 04							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000
Reserve										
Total							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000

Heading:

Pilot project — Fine-tuning reading technology for electronic identification of sheep

Remarks:

Add following text:

This appropriation is intended to fund an initiative to assist the implementation of Regulation 21/2004 on the electronic identification of sheep by promoting projects to fine-tune the reading technology to ensure 100% accuracy.

Legal basis:

Add following text:

Pilot project within the meaning of Article 49(6) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities (OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1).

Justification:

The successful implementation of Regulation 21/2004 is being undermined by faulty reading technology which does not achieve 100% accuracy and thus potentially exposes farmers, through no fault of their own, to cross compliance penalties. This pilot project will support measures to improve the working of the reading technology.

Draft amendment 5228

=== AGRI/5228 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Alyn

Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Article 05 02 03 — Refunds on non-Annex 1 products

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 03	31 000 000	31 000 000	14 000 000	14 000 000	14 000 000	14 000 000	-14 000 000	-14 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	31 000 000	31 000 000	14 000 000	14 000 000	14 000 000	14 000 000	-14 000 000	-14 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 12 01 — Refunds for milk and milk products

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's po	osition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 12 01	10 000 000	10 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	-1 000 000	-1 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	10 000 000	10 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	-1 000 000	-1 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 13 01 — Refunds for beef and veal

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 13 01	25 000 000	25 000 000	36 000 000	36 000 000	34 000 000	34 000 000	-34 000 000	-34 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	25 000 000	25 000 000	36 000 000	36 000 000	34 000 000	34 000 000	-34 000 000	-34 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 13 04 — Refunds for live animals

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 13 04	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000	-9 000 000	-9 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000	-9 000 000	-9 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 15 01 — Refunds for pigmeat

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 15 01	21 000 000	21 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	-18 000 000	-18 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
Total	21 000 000	21 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	-18 000 000	-18 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 15 04 — Refunds for eggs

Amend figures as follows:

	Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 15 04	3 000 000	3 000 000	4 000 000	4 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	-3 000 000	-3 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	3 000 000	3 000 000	4 000 000	4 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	-3 000 000	-3 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 15 05 — Refunds for poultrymeat

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 15 05	61 000 000	61 000 000	65 000 000	65 000 000	65 000 000	65 000 000	-65 000 000	-65 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	61 000 000	61 000 000	65 000 000	65 000 000	65 000 000	65 000 000	-65 000 000	-65 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

Justification:

All export refunds should be abolished in order to put a stop to the dumping of agricultural products on markets in developing countries and strengthen the EU's negotiating position in the WTO in a credible fashion. The funds freed up could be used inter alia for a fund to promote rural development and sustainable food production in developing countries.

Draft amendment 5229

=== AGRI/5229 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 04 05 01 — Rural development programmes

Amend remarks as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 04 05 01	14 408 211 311	11 900 560 364				12 510 000 000			14 589 123 242	12 510 000 000
Reserve										
Total	14 408 211 311	11 900 560 364			14 589 123 242	12 510 000 000			14 589 123 242	12 510 000 000

Remarks:

After paragraph:

This appropriation is intended to cover the financing European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD).

Amend text as follows:

Of the total commitment appropriations in this item, an amount of EUR 2 355 300 000 results from the compulsory modulation in conformity with Article 9(1) of Regulation (EC) No 73/2009. In addition, an amount of EUR 347 900 000 results from the voluntary modulation in conformity with Regulation (EC) No 378/2007. Rural development measures under all axes will be measured against more refined performance indicators for farming systems and production methods so as to respond to the challenges related to climate change, water protection, biodiversity and renewable energies. Member States shall report on action taken on the new challenges in rural development measures, including the milk sector and related grassland and crop production. Member States shall report as well on action taken in the field of sustainable farming. sector.

Justification:

As rural development measures will be measured against more refined performance indicators for farming systems and production methods so as to respond to the challenges related to climate change, water protection, biodiversity and renewable energies, better reporting by Member States is a prerequisite.

Draft amendment 5230

=== AGRI/5230 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, Martin Häusling, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 03 02 27 — Aid for energy crops

Amend figures, remarks and conditions for releasing the reserve as follows:

	Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 27	1 000 000	1 000 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	-500 000	-500 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve							500 000	500 000	500 000	500 000
Total	1 000 000	1 000 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	500 000			500 000	500 000

Remarks:

After paragraph:

This appropriation is intended to cover area aid to Chapter 5 of Title IV of Regulation (EC) No 1782/2003.

Add following text:

It may only be used if a positive energy and environmental balance can be established for the cultivation and use of the energy crop concerned. No assistance will be provided for the use of genetically modified organisms as energy crops.

Conditions for releasing the reserve:

Add following text:

Funds will be put in reserve until a food security impact assessment has been made.

Justification:

Energy crops raise a number of questions on their positive energy and environmental balance. Furthermore they may pose a threat to food security. The amendment aims at taking these considerations into account, linking the payment of the aid to certain criteria. Finally, aid to energy crops should not become a hidden support to GMOs throughout Europe.

Draft amendment 5231

=== AGRI/5231 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Martin Häusling, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 03 02 22 — Tobacco aid

Amend figures and remarks as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 22	1 000 000	1 000 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	-500 000	-500 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	1 000 000	1 000 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	-500 000	-500 000	p.m.	p.m.

Remarks:

Delete following text:

This appropriation is intended to cover aid granted to farmers producing raw tobacco in accordance with Chapter 10c of Title IV of Regulation (EC) No 1782/2003.

It is also intended to cover any outstanding payments under Title I of Regulation (EEC) No 2075/92, Article 3 of Regulation (EEC) No 2076/92 and Article 1 of Regulation (EC) No 546/2002.

Justification:

Subsidising tobacco is in contradiction to EU health policy objectives, which aim to discourage smoking.

Draft amendment 5232

=== AGRI/5232 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Martin Häusling, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 17 02 — Pilot project — European farm prices and margins observatory

Amend figures and remarks as follows:

	Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 17 02	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000
Reserve										
Total	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000

Remarks:

After paragraph:

This appropriation is intended to fund a European farm prices and margins observatory.

Add following text:

It will serve inter alia to help improve the food price monitoring tool with the aim to make it more user-friendly, by including a multilingual interface, covering a greater number of food products and achieving better price comparability on each grade of the food supply chain within and among Member States so as to meet consumers" and farmers' need for more transparency and food price building.

It should also include a comparison of sustainable production costs and farm gate prices for conventional and ethical products differentia in key farming sectors of Member States and social-economic situations.

Justification:

In order to gather information about the farmers' price sharing along the food chain, a price and margin observatory should be created at EU level. Remarks are added in line with recommendations contained in Parliament's draft report on fair revenues for farmers: A better functioning food supply chain in Europe (2009/2237(INI)).

Draft amendment 5233

=== AGRI/5233 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Martin Häusling, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 04 01 — Programmes for deprived persons

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New ar	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 04 01	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000			500 000 000	500 000 000
Reserve										
Total	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000			500 000 000	500 000 000

Justification:

Council has been unable for years to find a new legal base for the Deprived persons programmes. In times when millions of Europeans are at high risk of poverty and thus in strong need for these programmes, a drastic reduction of this budget line risks having dramatic consequences and will be a disaster for the image of the EU.

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5234

=== AGRI/5234 ===

Tabled by Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance, José Bové, Bas Eickhout, Martin Häusling, Alyn Smith, Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 17 03 — Pilot project — Support for farmers' and consumers' initiatives for low carbon emission, low energy consumption and locally marketed food production

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 17 03	2 000 000	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000
Reserve										
Total	2 000 000	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000

Justification:

In the health check of the CAP the Commission has defined climate change as one of the key challenges of future CAP policy. Therefore it is important to enhance farmers' and consumers' initiatives which establish low carbon emission, low energy consumption and locally marketed food chains. Eventhough the Commission claims that financing of such actions is possible under existing rural development policies, it is unclear if this is actually happening. Thus the need for this pilot project, which has been accepted by the budgetary authority for several years, but was never implemented.

Draft amendment 5235

=== AGRI/5235 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Article 05 01 01 — Expenditure related to staff in active employment in the 'Agriculture and rural development' policy area

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	get 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New ar	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 01 01	98 062 897	98 062 897	100 726 475	100 726 475	100 001 247	100 001 247	725 228	725 228	100 726 475	100 726 475
Reserve	74 532	74 532								
Total	98 137 429	98 137 429	100 726 475	100 726 475	100 001 247	100 001 247	725 228	725 228	100 726 475	100 726 475

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5236

=== AGRI/5236 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 01 02 01 — External staff

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 01 02 01	3 510 571	3 510 571	3 621 652	3 621 652	3 584 655	3 584 655	36 997	36 997	3 621 652	3 621 652
Reserve										
Total	3 510 571	3 510 571	3 621 652	3 621 652	3 584 655	3 584 655	36 997	36 997	3 621 652	3 621 652

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5237

=== AGRI/5237 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 01 02 11 — Other management expenditure

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget		Draft bud	t budget 2012 Counc		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	
05 01 02 11	9 703 964	9 703 964	9 027 105	9 027 105	8 746 581	8 746 581	280 524	280 524	9 027 105	9 027 105	
Reserve											
Total	9 703 964	9 703 964	9 027 105	9 027 105	8 746 581	8 746 581	280 524	280 524	9 027 105	9 027 105	

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5238

=== AGRI/5238 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Article 05 01 03 — Expenditure related to equipment, furniture and services of the 'Agriculture and rural development' policy area

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 01 03	7 105 647	7 105 647	6 774 808	6 774 808	6 535 171	6 535 171	239 637	239 637	6 774 808	6 774 808
Reserve										
Total	7 105 647	7 105 647	6 774 808	6 774 808	6 535 171	6 535 171	239 637	239 637	6 774 808	6 774 808

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5239

=== AGRI/5239 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 01 04 01 — European Agriculture Guarantee Fund (EAGF) — Non-operational technical assistance **Amend figures as follows:**

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 01 04 01	9 062 600	9 062 600	8 750 000	8 750 000	7 550 000	7 550 000	1 200 000	1 200 000	8 750 000	8 750 000
Reserve										
Total	9 062 600	9 062 600	8 750 000	8 750 000	7 550 000	7 550 000	1 200 000	1 200 000	8 750 000	8 750 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5240

=== AGRI/5240 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 01 04 04 — European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD) — Non-operational technical assistance

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 01 04 04	5 465 000	5 465 000	5 255 000	5 255 000	4 755 000	4 755 000	500 000	500 000	5 255 000	5 255 000
Reserve										
Total	5 465 000	5 465 000	5 255 000	5 255 000	4 755 000	4 755 000	500 000	500 000	5 255 000	5 255 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5241

=== AGRI/5241 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

Item 05 02 04 99 — Other measures (food programmes)

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 04 99	100 000	100 000	100 000	100 000	60 000	60 000	40 000	40 000	100 000	100 000
Reserve										
Total	100 000	100 000	100 000	100 000	60 000	60 000	40 000	40 000	100 000	100 000

	usi	 00	***	1	
		 ч			-
u	us	 ···			٠

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5242

=== AGRI/5242 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 06 05 — Quality improvement measures

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 06 05	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	46 000 000	46 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000
Reserve										
Total	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	46 000 000	46 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5243

=== AGRI/5243 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 08 03 — Operational funds for producer organisations

Amend figures as follows:

		Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
		Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 08	3 03	292 000 000	292 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	420 000 000	420 000 000	80 000 000	80 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000
Reserve											
Tota	ıl	292 000 000	292 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	420 000 000	420 000 000	80 000 000	80 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5244

=== AGRI/5244 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 08 11 — Aid to producer groups for preliminary recognition

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 201		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 08 11	107 000 000	107 000 000	150 000 000	150 000 000	130 000 000	130 000 000	20 000 000	20 000 000	150 000 000	150 000 000
Reserve										
Total	107 000 000	107 000 000	150 000 000	150 000 000	130 000 000	130 000 000	20 000 000	20 000 000	150 000 000	150 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5245

=== AGRI/5245 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 08 12 — School fruit scheme

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 08 12	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	64 000 000	64 000 000	26 000 000	26 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000
Reserve										
Total	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	64 000 000	64 000 000	26 000 000	26 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5246

=== AGRI/5246 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 09 08 — National support programmes for the wine sector

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 09 08	862 300 000	862 300 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000	1 066 900 000	1 066 900 000	20 000 000	20 000 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000
Reserve										
Total	862 300 000	862 300 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000	1 066 900 000	1 066 900 000	20 000 000	20 000 000	1 086 900 000	1 086 900 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5247

=== AGRI/5247 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 09 99 — Other measures (wine-growing sector)

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget		2011 Draft bud		udget 2012 Council's po		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 09 99	1 400 000	1 400 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	200 000	200 000	1 800 000	1 800 000	2 000 000	2 000 000
Reserve										
Total	1 400 000	1 400 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	200 000	200 000	1 800 000	1 800 000	2 000 000	2 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5248

=== AGRI/5248 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 10 01 — Promotion measures — Payments by Member States

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 10 01	58 000 000	58 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000
Reserve										
Total	58 000 000	58 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000	48 000 000	48 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	51 000 000	51 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5249

=== AGRI/5249 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 10 02 — Promotion measures — Direct payments by the Union

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 10 02	1 110 000	1 692 495	1 410 000	1 180 000	1 230 000	1 000 000	180 000	180 000	1 410 000	1 180 000
Reserve										
Total	1 110 000	1 692 495	1 410 000	1 180 000	1 230 000	1 000 000	180 000	180 000	1 410 000	1 180 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5250

=== AGRI/5250 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 12 08 — School milk

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 12 08	90 000 000	90 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000	76 000 000	76 000 000	5 000 000	5 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000
Reserve										
Total	90 000 000	90 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000	76 000 000	76 000 000	5 000 000	5 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5251

=== AGRI/5251 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 13 01 — Refunds for beef and veal

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 13 01	25 000 000	25 000 000	36 000 000	36 000 000	34 000 000	34 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	36 000 000	36 000 000
Reserve										
Total	25 000 000	25 000 000	36 000 000	36 000 000	34 000 000	34 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	36 000 000	36 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5252

=== AGRI/5252 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 15 04 — Refunds for eggs

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 15 04	3 000 000	3 000 000	4 000 000	4 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	4 000 000	4 000 000
Reserve										
Total	3 000 000	3 000 000	4 000 000	4 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	4 000 000	4 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5253

=== AGRI/5253 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

Item 05 03 02 25 — Protein crop premium

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's po	sition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 25	40 000 000	40 000 000	50 000 000	50 000 000	47 500 000	47 500 000	2 500 000	2 500 000	50 000 000	50 000 000
Reserve										
Total	40 000 000	40 000 000	50 000 000	50 000 000	47 500 000	47 500 000	2 500 000	2 500 000	50 000 000	50 000 000

T				~		. •		
•	11	C	tı	1	CO	tı	on	•
.,	u	•	u		L a	u	vu	•

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5254

=== AGRI/5254 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 03 02 40 — Area aid for cotton

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 40	256 000 000	256 000 000	244 000 000	244 000 000	226 000 000	226 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	244 000 000	244 000 000
Reserve										
Total	256 000 000	256 000 000	244 000 000	244 000 000	226 000 000	226 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	244 000 000	244 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5255

=== AGRI/5255 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 03 02 44 — Specific support (Article 68) — Coupled direct aids

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's po	sition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 44	805 000 000	805 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000	859 000 000	859 000 000	6 000 000	6 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000
Reserve										
Total	805 000 000	805 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000	859 000 000	859 000 000	6 000 000	6 000 000	865 000 000	865 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5256

=== AGRI/5256 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 04 02 01 — Completion of the European Agricultural Guidance and Guarantee Fund, Guidance Section — Objective 1 regions (2000 to 2006)

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 04 02 01	p.m.	568 790 562	p.m.	90 000 000	p.m.	80 000 000		10 000 000	p.m.	90 000 000
Reserve										
Total	p.m.	568 790 562	p.m.	90 000 000	p.m.	80 000 000		10 000 000	p.m.	90 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5257

=== AGRI/5257 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 04 05 01 — Rural development programmes

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 04 05 01	14 408 211 311	11 900 560 364	14 589 123 242	12 650 000 000	14 589 123 242	12 510 000 000		140 000 000	14 589 123 242	12 650 000 000
Reserve										
Total	14 408 211 311	11 900 560 364	14 589 123 242	12 650 000 000	14 589 123 242	12 510 000 000		140 000 000	14 589 123 242	12 650 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5258

=== AGRI/5258 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 04 05 02 — Operational technical assistance

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 04 05 02	22 440 241	8 339 763	22 521 200	7 910 000	20 021 200	4 910 000	2 500 000	3 000 000	22 521 200	7 910 000
Reserve										
Total	22 440 241	8 339 763	22 521 200	7 910 000	20 021 200	4 910 000	2 500 000	3 000 000	22 521 200	7 910 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5259

=== AGRI/5259 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

Article 05 05 02 — Instrument for Pre-accession Assistance for Rural Development (IPARD)

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 05 02	215 000 000	71 318 207	237 458 000	63 600 000	229 458 000	54 185 000	8 000 000	9 415 000	237 458 000	63 600 000
Reserve										
Total	215 000 000	71 318 207	237 458 000	63 600 000	229 458 000	54 185 000	8 000 000	9 415 000	237 458 000	63 600 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5260

=== AGRI/5260 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Article 05 06 01 — International agricultural agreements

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 06 01	6 360 000	6 055 858	6 515 000	6 515 000	6 360 000	6 055 858	155 000	459 142	6 515 000	6 515 000
Reserve										
Total	6 360 000	6 055 858	6 515 000	6 515 000	6 360 000	6 055 858	155 000	459 142	6 515 000	6 515 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5261

=== AGRI/5261 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 07 01 06 — Accounting clearance of previous years' accounts with regard to shared management expenditure under the EAGGF Guarantee Section (previous measures) and under the EAGF

Amend figures as follows:

	Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	get 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 07 01 06	-272 000 000	-272 000 000	-69 000 000	-69 000 000	-406 600 000	-406 600 000	337 600 000	337 600 000	-69 000 000	-69 000 000
Reserve										
Total	-272 000 000	-272 000 000	-69 000 000	-69 000 000	-406 600 000	-406 600 000	337 600 000	337 600 000	-69 000 000	-69 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5262

=== AGRI/5262 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

Article 05 08 02 — Surveys on the structure of agricultural holdings

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 08 02	550 000	515 379	20 235 377	21 125 377	15 235 377	11 125 377	5 000 000	10 000 000	20 235 377	21 125 377
Reserve										
Total	550 000	515 379	20 235 377	21 125 377	15 235 377	11 125 377	5 000 000	10 000 000	20 235 377	21 125 377

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5263

=== AGRI/5263 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Article 05 08 06 — Enhancing public awareness of the common agricultural policy

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 08 06	8 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000	7 000 000	7 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000
Reserve										
Total	8 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000	7 000 000	7 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	8 000 000	8 000 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5264

=== AGRI/5264 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Article 05 08 09 — European Agricultural Guarantee Fund (EAGF) — Operational technical assistance

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 08 09	1 490 000	1 490 000	1 705 000	1 705 000	1 490 000	1 490 000	215 000	215 000	1 705 000	1 705 000
Reserve										
Total	1 490 000	1 490 000	1 705 000	1 705 000	1 490 000	1 490 000	215 000	215 000	1 705 000	1 705 000

Justification:

Restore Draft Budget (DB).

Draft amendment 5265

=== AGRI/5265 ===

Tabled by Sylvie Goulard, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development, Jean-Luc Bennahmias, Marielle De Sarnez, Nathalie Griesbeck, Robert Rochefort

Item 05 02 04 01 — Programmes for deprived persons

Amend figures as follows:

		Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Difference		New amount	
		Commitment s	Payments								
05 02 0	04 01	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	100 000 000	100 000 000	600 000 000	600 000 000
Reserv	ve										
Тс	otal	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	100 000 000	100 000 000	600 000 000	600 000 000

Justification:

As the EU continues to experience the worst economic, financial and social crisis since the 1920s, it is insufficient to simply maintain the level of aid provided to Europe's most disadvantaged citizens, as it has been for the last 4 years. This aid instead needs to be increased, in order to help as many of the approximately 80 million Europeans still living under the poverty line as possible.

Draft amendment 5266

=== AGRI/5266 ===

Tabled by Esther de Lange, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 12 08 — School milk

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 12 08	90 000 000	90 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000	76 000 000	76 000 000	14 000 000	14 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000
Reserve										
Total	90 000 000	90 000 000	81 000 000	81 000 000	76 000 000	76 000 000	14 000 000	14 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000

Justification:

The School Milk programme is vitally important for the nutrition of children and it supports dairy farmers across the EU. Therefore the budget for this programme should be maintained at the 2011 level.

Draft amendment 5267

=== AGRI/5267 ===

Tabled by Esther de Lange, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 17 02 — Pilot project — European farm prices and margins observatory

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 17 02	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	5 000 000	5 000 000	5 000 000	5 000 000
Reserve										
Total	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	5 000 000	5 000 000	5 000 000	5 000 000

Justification:

The European farm prices and margins observatory is of key importance as an essential instrument to improve transparency in the food supply chain. Its creation remains a priority for Parliament especially in view of the price volatility that has been witnessed in recent years.

Draft amendment 5268

=== AGRI/5268 ===

Tabled by Esther de Lange, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Add: 05 02 08 02

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New ar	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 08 02							600 000 000	600 000 000	600 000 000	600 000 000
Reserve										
Total							600 000 000	600 000 000	600 000 000	600 000 000

Heading:

Special fund for vegetable producers

Remarks:

Add following text:

These appropriations are used to compensate vegetable growers producing cucumbers, tomatoes, lettuce, sweet peppers and courgettes for genuine losses and/or to supplement exceptionally low returns, caused by the EHEC-crisis in the period June to August 2011, on the basis of real costs and normal average production and turnover in the period mentioned

Justification:

The consequences of the EHEC-crisis put numerous vegetable producers in the EU into an extremely difficult situation whereby from one day to another demand declined dramatically and producers were either not selling their products at all or were selling them at an extremely low price. Estimates of the current loss range from 800 million euro to 1 billion euro in the EU. This fund will help those producers that were hit the most by the crisis.

Draft amendment 5269

=== AGRI/5269 ===

Tabled by Esther de Lange, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 10 02 — Promotion measures — Direct payments by the Union

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 10 02	1 110 000	1 692 495	1 410 000	1 180 000	1 230 000	1 000 000	4 000 000	4 000 000	5 230 000	5 000 000
Reserve										
Total	1 110 000	1 692 495	1 410 000	1 180 000	1 230 000	1 000 000	4 000 000	4 000 000	5 230 000	5 000 000

Justification:

Due to the EHEC-crisis and the very damaging consequences for numerous vegetable producers in the EU, promotional measures for vegetables are highly important to restore consumer confidence and stimulate the consumption of vegetables in the EU.

Draft amendment 5270

=== AGRI/5270 ===

Tabled by Richard Ashworth, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 03 02 22 — Tobacco aid

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 22	1 000 000	1 000 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	-500 000	-500 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	1 000 000	1 000 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	500 000	-500 000	-500 000	p.m.	p.m.

Justification:

Subsidising tobacco production in the European Union is inconsistent with the aims and objections of the Public Health programme of the EU and consequently represents very poor value for money for EU citizens.

Draft amendment 5271

=== AGRI/5271 ===

Tabled by Richard Ashworth, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 11 05 — Community fund for tobacco (excluding SANCO 17 03 02)

Amend figures as follows:

	Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 11 05	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.			p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.			p.m.	p.m.

Justification:

The key public health objectives of the Community Tobacco Fund, such as raising awareness of the harmful effects of tobacco, should be achieved through the budget of DG SANCO.

Draft amendment 5272

=== AGRI/5272 ===

Tabled by Richard Ashworth, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 04 01 — Programmes for deprived persons

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget 2011		Draft bud	get 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments						
05 02 04 01	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	-500 000 000	-500 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	-500 000 000	-500 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

Justification:

The measures available under this programme should not be available under the AGRI budget. The measures should instead be under the remit of member states and not the EU.

=== AGRI/5273 ===

Tabled by Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development, Richard Ashworth, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 07 01 — Aid for fibre flax and hemp

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 07 01	20 000 000	20 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	-18 000 000	-18 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	20 000 000	20 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	18 000 000	-18 000 000	-18 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

Justification:

Farmers need to be encouraged to respond to the market and therefore payments within the first pillar of the Common Agricultural Policy should be decoupled from production. Production based subsidies distort the market, lead to overstocking and environmental degradation and are a substantial drain on community funds.

Draft amendment 5274

=== AGRI/5274 ===

Tabled by Richard Ashworth, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 03 02 21 — Aid for olive groves

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 03 02 21	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	-3 000 000	-3 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	3 000 000	-3 000 000	-3 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

Justification:

Farmers need to be encouraged to respond to the market and therefore payments within the first pillar of the Common Agricultural Policy should be decoupled from production. Production based subsidies distort the market, lead to overstocking and environmental degradation and are a substantial drain on community funds.

Draft amendment 5275

=== AGRI/5275 ===

Tabled by Richard Ashworth, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 08 12 — School fruit scheme

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 08 12	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	64 000 000	64 000 000	-64 000 000	-64 000 000	p.m.	p.m.
Reserve										
Total	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	90 000 000	64 000 000	64 000 000	-64 000 000	-64 000 000	p.m.	p.m.

Justification:

The measures available under this programme should not be available under the AGRI budget. The measures should instead be under the remit of member states and not the EU.

Draft amendment 5276

=== AGRI/5276 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 04 05 03 — Pilot Project — Exchange programme for young farmers

Amend figures as follows:

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 04 05 03	1 500 000	750 000	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	1 500 000	1 500 000	1 500 000	1 500 000
Reserve										
Total	1 500 000	750 000	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	1 500 000	1 500 000	1 500 000	1 500 000

Justification:

This pilot project needs to be extended.

Draft amendment 5277

=== AGRI/5277 ===

Tabled by Ulrike Rodust, Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development, Reimer Böge

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Add: 05 08 11

	Budge	t 2011	Draft budget 2012		Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 08 11							2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000
Reserve										
Total							2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000	2 000 000

Heading:

Pilot project — Coordinate resesarch on the use of homeopathy and phytotherapy in livestock farming

Remarks:

Add following text:

Calls upon the Commission to set up a pilot project to coordinate research on the use of homeopathy and phytotherapy in livestock farming, in line with the motion for a resolution on antibiotic resistance in which Parliament called for the use of antibiotics in livestock farming to be reduced and for alternative methods to be used; such methods include the use of homeopathy and phytotherapy; the pilot project should involve the collection of data as to what research projects in the field of homeopathy and phytotherapy have already been set up by the various Member States' universities and higher education institutions, and what findings they have made; the pilot project should also investigate whether, and in what framework, the universities involved cooperate.

Legal basis:

Add following text:

Pilot project within the meaning of Article 49(6) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities (OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1).

Justification:

Antibiotic resistance is a growing problem in the EU and worldwide. One problem is the use of antibiotics in livestock farming. That is why research on alternative methods has to be moved forward, for example homeopathy and phytotherapy.

Draft amendment 5278

=== AGRI/5278 ===

Tabled by Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Add: 05 04 05 04

	Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	osition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 04 05 04							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000
Reserve										
Total							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000

Heading:

Pilot project — Information to consumers on agricultural quality and safety standards

Remarks:

Add following text:

Pilot Project to provide information in schools, at points of sale and at other consumer contact points on the high-quality, food-safety, environmental and animal-welfare standards that European farmers have to meet, as compared with those required in third countries; this project should highlight the important contribution made by the CAP in achieving these high standards and include explanations of the various quality schemes in force, such as denominations of origin and geographical indications.

Legal basis:

Add following text:

Pilot project within the meaning of Article 49(6) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities (OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1).

Justification:

Pilot Project to provide information in schools, at points of sale and at other consumer contact points on the high-quality, food-safety, environmental and animal-welfare standards that European farmers have to meet, as compared with those required in third countries; this project should highlight the important contribution made by the CAP in achieving these high standards and include explanations of the various quality schemes in force, such as denominations of origin and geographical indications.

Draft amendment 5279

=== AGRI/5279 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 04 01 — Programmes for deprived persons

Amend remarks as follows:

	Budget	2011	Draft bud	get 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 04 01	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000			500 000 000	500 000 000

	Budget	t 2011	Draft bud	get 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
Reserve										
Total	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000	500 000 000			500 000 000	500 000 000

Remarks:

After paragraph:

This budget line must be implemented in accordance the light of proceedings before the General Court.

Add following text:

The Commission will set up a legal solution in order to allow for keeping up an equivalent level of support to the programmes of food aid distribution for the most deprived persons.

Justification:

In the current context of economic and financial crisis, it is crucial to secure at least the same level of support that has allowed for covering about half of the supply of the European food banks reaching in 2006 to more than 13 million people. Taking into account the Court's judgment of T-S76/08 of 13 April 2011, a new legal basis is needed in order to keep up the same level of financial support to food aid programmes.

Draft amendment 5280

=== AGRI/5280 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Item 05 02 06 03 — Storage measures for olive oil

Amend figures and conditions for releasing the reserve as follows:

	Budge	t 2011	Draft budget 2012		Council's po	sition 2012	Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 06 03	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.			p.m.	p.m.
Reserve							9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000
Total	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	p.m.	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000	9 000 000

Conditions for releasing the reserve:

Add following text:

The appropriation put in reserve shall be released after revision of the conditions at which private storage can be activated.

Justification:

Olive oil prices have not had a positive evolution for the past ten years even though production costs have been increasing steeply. Therefore, the logic of intervention has to be looked upon this reality and trigger prices for storage must be updated.

Draft amendment 5281

=== AGRI/5281 ===

Tabled by Luis Manuel Capoulas Santos, Committee on Agriculture and Rural Development

SECTION III — COMMISSION

Add: 05 02 10 03

Budge	t 2011	Draft bud	lget 2012	Council's po	sition 2012	Diffe	rence	New a	mount
Commitment	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment	Payments	Commitment	Payments	Commitment	Payments

	Budget 2011		Draft budget 2012		Council's position 2012		Difference		New amount	
	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments	Commitment s	Payments
05 02 10 03							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000
Reserve										
Total							1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000	1 000 000

Heading:

Pilot project — Strategies and communication campaign on how to avoid food wastage

Remarks:

Add following text:

Information campaign to consumers on causes and consequences of waste in food and advice on ways of reducing it, as well as by promoting benchmarking practices within the different sectors of the food chain.

Legal basis:

Add following text:

Pilot project within the meaning of Article 49(6) of Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002 of 25 June 2002 on the Financial Regulation applicable to the general budget of the European Communities (OJ L 248, 16.9.2002, p. 1).

Justification:

The EC estimates that annual food waste in the 27 Member States is about 179kg per capita, while 79 million people are still living below the poverty line in the EU, and taking also into account the current period of economic and financial austerity, food waste has economic, environmental and social implications. Considering that in industrialised countries food wastage is mostly concentrated at the final stages, namely distribution and consumption, this pilot project should be a first step in preventing food wastage, for subsequent development in all the sectors of the food chain.